

## Planning Appeals Received

24 February 2018 - 23 March 2018

### WINDSOR RURAL

The appeals listed below have been received by the Council and will be considered by the Planning Inspectorate. Should you wish to make additional/new comments in connection with an appeal you can do so on the Planning Inspectorate website at <https://acp.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/> please use the PINs reference number. If you do not have access to the Internet please write to the relevant address, shown below.

**Enforcement appeals:** The Planning Inspectorate, Temple Quay House, 2 The Square, Temple Quay, Bristol, BS1 6PN

**Other appeals:** The Planning Inspectorate Temple Quay House, 2 The Square Bristol BS1 6PN

<b>Ward:</b>	Sunninghill And Ascot Parish			
<b>Parish:</b>	Sunninghill And Ascot Parish			
<b>Appeal Ref.:</b>	18/60032/REF	<b>Planning Ref.:</b>	17/03076/FULL	<b>Plns Ref.:</b> APP/T0355/D/18/3194562
<b>Date Received:</b>	26 February 2018	<b>Comments Due:</b>	Not Applicable	
<b>Type:</b>	Refusal	<b>Appeal Type:</b>	Householder	
<b>Description:</b>	First floor rear extension			
<b>Location:</b>	<b>80 Bouldish Farm Road Ascot SL5 9EL</b>			
<b>Appellant:</b>	Mrs Vladiana Maris-Kowel <b>c/o Agent:</b> Mr Colin Tebb Hustings Cottage North Street Winterborne Stickland Blandford Forum Dorset DT11 0NL			
<hr/>				
<b>Ward:</b>	Sunningdale Parish			
<b>Parish:</b>	Sunningdale Parish			
<b>Appeal Ref.:</b>	18/60033/NONDET	<b>Planning Ref.:</b>	17/02692/FULL	<b>Plns Ref.:</b> APP/T0355/W/17/3187491
<b>Date Received:</b>	7 March 2018	<b>Comments Due:</b>	11 April 2018	
<b>Type:</b>	Non-determination	<b>Appeal Type:</b>	Written Representation	
<b>Description:</b>	Change of use from ancillary residential accommodation to independent self-contained residential dwelling			
<b>Location:</b>	<b>Annexe Home Farm Broomfield Park Sunningdale Ascot SL5 0JR</b>			
<b>Appellant:</b>	Mr Giorgio Cefis <b>c/o Agent:</b> Mr Thomas Rumble Woolf Bond Planning The Mitfords Basingstoke Road Three Mile Cross Reading RG7 1AT			

# Appeal Decision Report

24 February 2018 - 23 March 2018

WINDSOR RURAL

---

**Appeal Ref.:** 18/60008/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 17/02165/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/17/3189663

**Appellant:** Mr & Mrs Shaladan c/o **Agent:** Mr Neil Davis Planning Ltd 19 Woodlands Avenue Winnersh Wokingham Berkshire RG41 3HL

**Decision Type:** Delegated      **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Construction of carport and decking (retrospective)

**Location:** **19 Lower Village Road Ascot SL5 7AF**

**Appeal Decision:** Allowed      **Decision Date:** 5 March 2018

**Main Issue:** Despite the structure being an irregular one, it would be located in an unusual and visually uninspiring location which contrasts with elsewhere along the road. Due to its position in relation to the road, only a limited section of the street would be visually impacted by the structure and views from longer distances would not be effected. Furthermore the growth of the decorative planting around the structure, once established would contribute to the areas character. The structure would bring amenity benefits to the occupiers of the property, without harming the residential amenity of other residents. For the reasons it is considered that the proposal would cause no unacceptable adverse effect to the character and appearance of the host property or locality; in line with Local Plan Policies DG1, H14 and Ascot, Sunninghill and Sunningdale Neighbourhood Plan Policies NP/DG2.2, NP/DG3.1 and NP/DG1.4.

---

**Appeal Ref.:** 18/60023/REF      **Planning Ref.:** 17/02332/FULL      **Plns Ref.:** APP/T0355/D/17/3190565

**Appellant:** Mr Declan Murphy c/o **Agent:** Mr Richard Simpson RJS Planning 132 Brunswick Road London W5 1AW

**Decision Type:** Delegated      **Officer Recommendation:** Refuse

**Description:** Loft conversion into habitable space with x1 rear dormer.

**Location:** **14 St James Gate Sunningdale Ascot SL5 9SS**

**Appeal Decision:** Dismissed      **Decision Date:** 21 March 2018

**Main Issue:** The Inspector found that the dormer does not positively improve the character and appearance of the property and fails to meet the design objectives of the National Planning Policy Framework (the Framework). In view of the harm to the character and appearance of the building the development does not constitute sustainable development and does not meet the presumption in favour of such development in the Framework.

---